

March 5, 2018

Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Room TW-A325 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: CG Docket No. 10-51, Structure and Practices of the Video Relay Service Program; CG Docket No. 03-123, Telecommunications Relay Services and Speech-to-Speech Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On March 1, 2018, Brian Rosen and the undersigned from Neustar, Inc. ("Neustar") met with Eliot Greenwald, Karen Peltz Strauss and Michael Scott from the FCC's Disability Rights Office and David Schmidt (by telephone) and Andy Mulitz from the FCC's Office of the Managing Director. Also present for the meeting were Grant Beckmann and Isaac Roach from Sorenson Communications and their outside counsel John Nakahata and Randall Sifers of Harris, Wiltshire & Grannis. David Rolka and Kelly Kearn from RolkaLoube participated by telephone. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the proposal by Neustar, as the Internet-based Telecommunications Relay Service ("iTRS") Telephone Number Directory Administrator, to use OAuth 2.0 as the basis for user authentication to access Video Relay Service public video phones if such authentication is required by the Commission.

The Sorenson representatives explained the technical limitations of Sorenson's VP1 and VP2 videophones with regard to their ability to handle the requirements of OAuth 2.0, currently or with upgrades, particularly the lack of web browser and available memory. Brian Rosen suggested a streamlined approach to OAuth that would reduce the burdens on Sorenson's videophones. Although the Sorenson representatives acknowledged that users of their videophones might be able to authenticate using this streamlined approach, they raised security and user interface concerns that would need to be resolved before moving forward.

The discussion turned to the Commission's goals in seeking to require authentication and ways to scope the problem of abuse of public VRS devices. Alternatives to caller authentication were also discussed. As Neustar takes no position on the merits of whether authentication for public videophones should be required, Neustar was a limited participant in this part of the discussion.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard L. Fruchterman, III

I Furchterman &

Sr. External Affairs Counsel

cc: David Schmidt

Eliot Greenwald

Andrew Mulitz

Karen Peltz Strauss

Michael Scott

Brian Rosen

John Nakahata

Randall Sifers

David Rolka

Kelly Kearn